

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/669,986	09/23/2003	Lee Kong Weng	70030735-1	4231
57299 Kathy Manke	IINER			
Avago Technologies Limited			PAYNE, SHARON E	
4380 Ziegler Road Fort Collins, CO 80525			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1011 00111115, 0	0 000_0		2875	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	•		e i L			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	TH			
	10/669,986	WENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharon E. Payne	2875	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23						
, —	his action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.t	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the sheet of the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 106	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8-9, 11-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishinaga (U.S. Patent 6,355,946) in view of Kyocera (JP 2002232017) and Curtin et al. (U.S. Patent 5,686,790).

Regarding claim 1, Ishinaga discloses a standalone light emitting diode package (abstract) comprising a housing comprising sidewalls (Fig. 1) and a substrate (reference number 1), the sidewalls and the substrate defining a cavity having a bottom (Fig. 1, see bottom portion of dotted lines), the substrate being located at the bottom of the cavity (Fig. 1, reference number 1), portions of the substrate engaging or being adjacent to the sidewalls (Fig. 2, see elliptical dotted line in the middle), the substrate being formed of ceramic (column 3, lines 50-55), at least one light-reflective metallic coating disposed over at least portions of the substrate (column 3, lines 65-68), a light emitting diode mounted on or in the substrate (abstract, Fig. 1), and optically transparent material disposed in the cavity and covering the light emitting

Art Unit: 2875

diode (column 4, lines 25-30), wherein the ceramic composition of the substrate and the composition of the sidewalls and the light-reflective coating cooperate to minimize light leakage through or into the housing when the light emitting diode is energized (column 4, lines 55-65), the metallic coating reflects light incident thereon in a predetermined direction (column 3, lines 65-68, and Fig. 1), and the optically transparent material protects the light emitting diode (column 4, lines 25-30). Ishinaga does not disclose the metallic coating on the sidewalls and the vertical sidewalls being formed of one continuous and unitary piece of ceramic.

Kyocera discloses substantially vertical sidewalls (Fig. 4, reference number 33), the substantially vertical sidewalls being formed of ceramic (English abstract), and at least one light-reflective metallic coating disposed over at least portions of the sidewalls (Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Kyocera in the apparatus of Ishinaga to make the apparatus produce more light. See the English abstract of Kyocera.

Curtin et al. discloses substantially vertical sidewalls (Fig. 7), the vertical sidewalls being contiguous, continuous and uninterrupted respecting one another at the intersections thereof (Fig. 7, reference number 701), the housing forming a single unitary piece of ceramic (reference number 701, Fig. 7), the housing minimizing light leakage through, into or out of the housing (Fig. 7).

Art Unit: 2875

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Curtin et al. in the apparatus of Ishinaga to enable one to attach driver circuits to the substrate (column 3, line 55, to column 4, line 6, of Curtin et al.).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Ishinaga discloses the cavity being substantially white in color (column 4, lines 30-35).

Concerning claims 5 and 11, Ishinaga discloses the metallic coating being comprising gold (column 3, lines 65-68, Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 6 and 12, Ishinaga discloses the metallic coating being formed by plating (column 3, lines 65-68).

Regarding claim 8, Ishinaga discloses a housing having sidewalls (Fig. 1) and a substrate (reference number 1), the sidewalls and the substrate defining a cavity having a bottom (Fig. 3, lower middle), the substrate being located at the bottom of the cavity (Fig. 1), portions of the substrate engaging or being adjacent to the sidewalls (Fig. 1), the substrate being formed of ceramic (column 3, lines 50-55), at least one light-reflective metallic coating being disposed over at least portions of the substrate (column 3, lines 65-68, Fig. 1), a light emitting diode being mounted on or in the substrate (abstract, Fig. 1, reference number 3A), an optically transparent material being disposed in the cavity and covering the light emitting diode (column 4, lines 25-30), the ceramic composition of the substrate and the composition of the sidewalls and the light-reflective coating cooperating to minimize light leakage through or into

Art Unit: 2875

the housing when the light emitting diode is energized (column 4, lines 55-65), the metallic coating reflecting light incident thereon in a predetermined direction (column 3, lines 65-68, and Fig. 1), and the optically transparent material protecting the light emitting diode (column 4, lines 25-30), the method comprising providing the housing (Fig. 1), coating at least portions of the substrate with a light-reflective metallic coating (column 3, lines 65-68), mounting the light emitting diode on or in the substrate (Fig. 1, abstract) and depositing the optically transparent material in the cavity (column 4, lines 25-30). Ishinaga does not disclose stamping the ceramic, the substantially vertical sidewalls being unitary with each other or with a metallic coating, or the step of coating the sidewalls with the at least one light-reflective metallic coating.

Kyocera discloses substantially vertical sidewalls (Fig. 4, reference number 33), the substantially vertical sidewalls being formed of ceramic (English abstract), at least one light-reflective metallic coating disposed over at least portions of the sidewalls (Fig. 4), and the step of coating the at least portions of the sidewalls with the at least one light-reflective metallic coating (Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Kyocera in the apparatus of Ishinaga to make the apparatus produce more light. See the English abstract of Kyocera.

Curtin et al. discloses substantially vertical sidewalls (Fig. 7), the vertical sidewalls being contiguous, continuous and uninterrupted respecting one another at the intersections thereof (Fig. 7, reference number 701), the housing forming a single unitary piece of ceramic (reference number 701, Fig. 7), the housing minimizing light leakage through, into or out of the housing (Fig. 7), and the step of stamping the housing from the single unitary piece of ceramic (column 24, lines 10-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Curtin et al. in the apparatus of Ishinaga to enable one to attach driver circuits to the substrate (column 3, line 55, to column 4, line 6, of Curtin et al.).

Concerning claim 14, Ishinaga discloses the step of depositing epoxy as the optically transparent material in the cavity (column 4, lines 25-30).

3. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishinaga in view of Kyocera and Curtin et al. as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Zou et al. (U.S. Patent 6,186,649).

Concerning claims 4 and 10, Ishinaga, Kyocera and Curtin et al. do not disclose using silver as a reflective coating. Zou et al. discloses the metallic coating comprising silver (column 6, lines 10-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the silver coating of Zou et al. in the apparatus

of Ishinaga, Kyocera and Curtin et al. to achieve "high output irradiance[.]" See column 1, lines 60-65, of Zou et al.

4. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishinaga in view of Kyocera and Curtin et al. as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Huang (U.S. Patent 6,715,901).

Regarding claims 7 and 13, Ishinaga, Kyocera and Curtin et al. do not disclose the cavity being formed (or configured) to contain a plurality of LEDs. Huang discloses the ceramic cavity being formed to contain a plurality of LEDs (column 4, lines 62-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Huang in the apparatus of Ishinaga, Kyocera and Curtin et al. to increase light output per apparatus.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

Art Unit: 2875

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2379. The examiner can normally be reached on regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2875

Page 9

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sep

Sharon Payne

Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800